



TTAB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNILEVER SUPPLY CHAIN, INC.)
CONOPCO, INC. d/b/a UNILEVER)
Opposer,)
vs.)
WELLNx LIFE SCIENCES INC.)
Applicant.)

Opposition No. 91186988

76/652,169

Attorney's Reference: 49914-265325

APPLICANT'S ANSWER

Applicant, Wellnx Life Sciences Inc., through its counsel, hereby Answers the Notice of Opposition as follows:

Applicant denies each and every allegation of the Notice of Opposition unless otherwise admitted or responded to as follows:

1. Answering paragraph 1 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 1 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
2. Answering paragraph 2 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 2 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
3. Answering paragraph 3 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 3 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.



11-12-2008

4. Answering paragraph 4 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
5. Answering paragraph 5 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 5 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
6. Admitted.
7. Answering paragraph 7 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 7 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
8. Answering paragraph 8 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 8 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
9. Answering paragraph 9 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
10. Answering paragraph 10 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
11. Answering paragraph 11 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 11 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.

12. Answering paragraph 12 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 12 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
13. Answering paragraph 13 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 13 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
14. Answering paragraph 14 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 14 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
15. Answering paragraph 15 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 15 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 16 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
17. Answering paragraph 17 of the Notice, applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief concerning the allegations of paragraph 17 of the Notice, and therefore denies the same.
18. Applicant admits that Opposers believe that they will be damaged by registration of SLIMQUICK LABORATORIES as a mark. Applicant denies the remainder of paragraph 18.

19. Applicant admits that Opposers believe that they will be damaged by registration of SLIMQUICK LABORATORIES as a mark. Applicant denies the remainder of paragraph 19.

20. Denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

21. Opposer's pleaded mark is inherently weak and descriptive, and as such, is entitled to a narrow scope of protection.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that the Notice of Opposition be denied, that this action be dismissed with prejudice, and that Application Serial No. 76/652169 be forwarded for issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: November 12, 2008

Mark B. Harrison
Jacqueline E. Levasseur Patt
Julie Hopkins
Rebecca Liebowitz
VENABLE
P.O. Box 34385
Washington, D.C. 20043-4385
Telephone: (202) 344-4019
Telefax: (202) 344-8300

Attorneys for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, attorney for Applicant, hereby certifies that this 12th day of November, 2008, he served, by first class mail, postage prepaid, a copy of the **ANSWER** upon:

Gregory P. Gulia, Esq.
Duane Morris LLP
1540 Broadway
New York, New York 10036-4086



Mark B. Harrison